## College of Science Outstanding Advisor Award Rubric

Flourant	Inadequate	Fair	Proficient	Outstanding	Score
Statement of Recommendation by Nominator(s) and letter(s) of support by others	No evidence provided	Statement of recommendation(s)/letters of support offer broad, sweeping statements as qualifications without any specific examples.	Statement of recommendation(s)/letters of support outline candidate's qualifications using specific examples.	Statement of recommendation(s)/ letters of support outline candidate's qualifications with specific behavior-based examples, including examples from others (colleagues & students) as well as quotes/comments provides examples of exemplary academic advising	(0-3)
<ul> <li>Interpersonal/Human Relations Skills</li> <li>Is the nominee available and willing to meet with students and colleagues?</li> <li>Does the nominee exhibit most or all of the following qualities and skills in their advising appointments: verbal/non-verbal communication, listening skills, questioning, manners, negotiation, problem-solving, decision making, assertiveness, social awareness/empathy, responsibility/accountability, and selfmanagement?</li> <li>Does the nominee go beyond normal duties to meet with students in settings other than prescribed?</li> <li>Does the nominee demonstrate superior interpersonal skills with other members of their department and outside the department?</li> </ul>	No evidence provided	Nominee has some evidence as demonstrated through submitted material.  Commentary is broad	Nominee has compelling evidence as demonstrated through submitted material  Specific examples are included	Nominee has overwhelming evidence as communicated through submitted materials exemplifying the nominee's interpersonal skills with specific examples or quotes from colleagues/students	

## College of Science Outstanding Advisor Award Rubric

<ul> <li>Professional Practices</li> <li>Responsible to themselves &amp; their profession; to individuals they advise; to their institutions; to higher education; to their educational community.</li> <li>Does this nominee promote advising on campus and proactively create advising interactions?</li> <li>Does this nominee utilize campus networks and make appropriate referrals?</li> <li>Does this advisor set high standards of practice for advising?</li> <li>Does the nominee participate in affecting change on campus?</li> </ul>	Nominee has no evidence demonstrating best professional practices	Nominee has some evidence or body of experience that demonstrates best professional practices	Nominee has strong or compelling evidence that they have developed their own best professional practices.	Nominee has overwhelming evidence or body of experience that supports best professional practices	
Documented Advisor Development	Nominee has no evidence of advisor development	Nominee has begun to participate or has short-term participation in advisor development activities. (i.e., service to campus advising related committees)	Nominee has documented ongoing participation in advisor development activities on their campus or in their region	Nominee has documented, sustained participation in advisor development, activities on the campus, regional, and/or national level, perhaps taken on a leadership role	
<ul> <li>Documented Success</li> <li>Does the nominee demonstrate planning/forethought, organization, presentation skills, creativity, initiative, trust, creditability?</li> <li>Is there data to show student success following advisor/student interaction?</li> </ul>	No evidence provided	Nominee has some evidence or workplace experience with documented success	Nominee has documented and sustained contributions to advising on their campus.  Specific examples included	Nominee has documented and developed advising-related initiatives to advising locally, regionally and/or nationally.  Exemplifies continued growth as an advisor	

## College of Science Outstanding Advisor Award Rubric

Philosophy of Advising	No evidence provided	Advising Philosophy included is in the developing stages. There is some evidence of an	Advising Philosophy is included and is well-articulated, considers advising and/or student development theory and has depth of thought and application	Advising Philosophy is included and is well-articulated, and well-grounded in advising or student development
		emerging philosophy but further development would make it stronger		theory. It has depth of thought and application and clearly connects to the advisor's work on a personal level

Total

/18